

RatingsDirect®

Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati

Primary Credit Analyst:

Michael Leizerovich, CFA, Toronto (1) 416-507-2510; michael.leizerovich@spglobal.com

Secondary Contacts:

Lidia Parfeniuk, Toronto (1) 416-507-2517; lidia.parfeniuk@spglobal.com

Erik M Oja, New York + 1 (212) 438 4314; Erik.Oja@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Major Rating Factors

Outlook

Rationale

Ratings Score Snapshot

Related Criteria

Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati

Major Rating Factors

Issuer Credit Rating
AA+/Stable/A-1+

Strengths:	Weaknesses:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Critical to the implementation of U.S. government housing policy • Very strong risk-adjusted capitalization • Strong loan-asset quality and limited risk from peripheral activities • Stable and favorable funding 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concentrated exposure to the U.S. mortgage market • Geographically restricted to a limited region of the U.S. • Vulnerable to future potential legislative changes

Outlook

The stable outlook on Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati (FHLB Cincinnati) reflects the company's stable operating performance, as well as S&P Global Ratings' stable outlook on the U.S. If we changed our rating or outlook on the U.S., the change would likely flow through to our ratings on the Federal Home Loan Bank System's (FHLB System) debt and its individual banks, including FHLB Cincinnati. Despite recent and possible changes in the FHLB System, we expect FHLB Cincinnati to maintain its adequate financial profile and build retained earnings, given its comprehensive and adequate governing policies and management's intention to maintain them for at least the next two years. We could also lower the rating in the next two years if, in the context of government-sponsored entity (GSE) reform, the role of the FHLB System in housing finance was diminished, thereby reducing its importance to the government.

Rationale

Our issuer credit rating on FHLB Cincinnati reflects our view of the wholesale bank's government-supported role in providing liquidity to member institutions, very strong loan-asset quality and capitalization, low funding costs, and conservative risk management. It also reflects the bank's regulated status, overseen by the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA). Based on our criteria for rating government-related entities, the rating on FHLB Cincinnati includes a one-notch uplift from the bank's stand-alone credit profile (SACP) of 'aa', reflecting our expectation that there is a very high likelihood of the bank receiving extraordinary government support given the FHLB System's high importance to

the U.S. housing market.

Anchor: Adjusted for an FHLB to reflect regulated status, strong competitive position, and favorable funding

Our starting point, or anchor, for our ratings on U.S. finance companies (fincos), which include FHLBs that we rate under our nonbank financial institutions (NBFIs) criteria, is 'bb+'. Because of FHLBs' public policy role and regulatory status, we raise the anchor for FHLB Cincinnati and its sister banks to 'bbb+', three notches above our anchor for U.S. fincos. This is to account for the FHFA's regulatory oversight, the favorable funding an FHLB enjoys through its close relationship with the U.S. government, its strong competitive position alongside other housing-related GSEs, including Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac in the U.S. housing finance market, and the statutory priority of liens in a bank wind-down situation.

Business position: A unique and strong market position with longstanding members

We view FHLB Cincinnati's business position as strong, reflecting the company's established market position, recurring business volumes, and public policy role (for more detail, see "Federal Home Loan Banks," published Aug. 1, 2018, on RatingsDirect), which we believe offset some of the risks associated with its lack of business diversity.

With total assets of \$108.1 billion, FHLB Cincinnati is the fourth-largest bank within the FHLB system as of June 30, 2018, behind FHLB New York, FHLB Atlanta, and FHLB Des Moines. Its portfolio consists of 660 member institutions: 385 commercial banks, 132 credit unions, 92 savings institutions, 46 insurance companies, and five community development financial institutions in Ohio, Kentucky, and Tennessee as of Dec. 31, 2017. At the end of 2017, the composition of membership by state was Ohio with 302 members, Kentucky with 184, and Tennessee with 174. FHLB Cincinnati is heavily affected by the economic conditions in Ohio, where more than 45% of its members belong.

The bank had \$60.7 billion in advances at June 30, 2018. It has the second-highest concentration in advances by borrowers among the FHLB peers as the top five and three largest borrowers held approximately 65% and 59% of advances, respectively, as of March 31, 2018. The largest is JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A., with about 38% of all advances, followed by US Bank N.A., with about 15% and Third Federal Savings and Loan Association with 6%. Moreover, members are concentrated within a limited region of the U.S. We believe that this concentration poses a risk to the bank's profitability but not its financial condition because capital is not returned until advances are repaid.

Capital, leverage, and earnings: Collateralized lending to financial institutions limits risk

We believe FHLB Cincinnati's capital is very strong based on its member-capitalized co-op structure and low-risk collateralized lending business. FHLB Cincinnati is required to keep capital in excess of 4% of assets by the FHFA, and as of first-quarter 2018, it had a 4.94% regulatory capital-to-assets ratio and a leverage ratio of 7.40%, above the regulatory requirement of 5%. Because the majority of its assets as of this date are advances (56.1% as of June 30, 2018), which attract a relatively low risk weight in our methodology because all of the exposure is to financial institutions, capital on a risk-adjusted basis is stronger than it might otherwise appear.

We expect the S&P Global Ratings RAC ratio to remain above 20% over the next two years, comfortably above our 15% threshold for a very strong capital and earnings assessment. The bank has a large buffer primarily because, in contrast to other FHLBs, it does not have a private-label mortgage-backed securities portfolio. Unlike several other FHLBs, the company has continued to pay a cash dividend each year since year-end 2008, when its excess stock first

exceeded 1% of total assets. At 74.1% (as of March 31, 2018), the bank's dividend payout ratio exceeds that of several other banks, and contributes to one of the lowest retained earnings-to-assets ratios among peers, 0.86% versus a peer average of 1.77%.

We believe earnings at FHLB Cincinnati are relatively stable. The bank's mortgage portfolio continues to contribute significantly to earnings. Historically, FHLB Cincinnati has been slightly below the peer average with respect to its earnings as it is a spread lender and does not significantly diverge from its business activity to augment income. As of March 31, 2018, FHLB Cincinnati's earnings were in line with those of peers, with a return on average assets of 0.30%. Spread income has historically been a key driver of revenues and contributes more than 97% of operating revenues. We do not believe the absolute level of earnings is an important ratings consideration because of the firm's strong capital level, as well as its co-op structure, which ensures that profit maximization is not a goal.

Risk position: Limited risk from peripheral activity

We consider FHLB Cincinnati's risk position to be very strong, reflecting the fact that in its eight decades of existence neither the company nor its sister FHLBs has ever suffered a loss on a collateralized advance to a member.

FHLB Cincinnati requires each member to provide a security interest in eligible collateral before it can undertake any secured borrowing. We believe that FHLB Cincinnati maintains a robust analytical process to support its collateral market valuation and haircut methodology. The company monitors the financial condition of its members, advance rates, and security agreements to further mitigate credit risk. FHLB Cincinnati uses a proprietary credit scoring model as one of its tools to implement a risk-based approach to underwriting and monitoring. The bank follows strict underwriting standards and credit requirements from borrowers. As of year-end 2017, the Mortgage Purchase Program portfolio had a weighted average FICO score of 765, with 77% of loans having a FICO score greater than 740.

The bank is exposed to interest rate risk primarily from the effect of interest rate changes on its interest-earning assets and on the funding sources that finance these assets. However, it manages the risk within appropriate limits using various derivatives, which may include interest rate swaps, swaptions, interest rate cap and floor agreements, calls, puts, futures, and forward contracts. At March 31, 2018, the investment securities portfolio totaled \$16.6 billion, including \$16.1 billion of mortgage-backed securities. FHLB Cincinnati is the only bank in the FHLB System to have no exposure to private-label mortgage-backed securities and has a fairly high percentage of advances to total assets (56.1% of total assets as of June 30, 2018). The bank has a relatively homogenous lending portfolio, considering all advances are made to financial institutions backed by a majority of residential and commercial mortgages, and its first-lien position with regard to Federal Deposit Insurance Corp.-backed institutions has prevented it from suffering any losses on advances. Therefore, we do not view the concentration of its portfolio as a material risk.

Funding and liquidity: Stable and cheap funding supports the business model

The FHLB System has a diverse and global investor base, and it readily sells its debt at a small spread to U.S. Treasury obligations. Both of these factors support FHLB Cincinnati's funding and liquidity. Also, we expect that, based on the availability of funding for the system in the 2008 liquidity crisis, access to funding is unlikely to be an issue in stress scenarios.

We consider FHLB Cincinnati's liquidity adequate compared with its potential cash flow requirements in the next year. The bank's liquidity position complies with the FHLB Act and certain regulations and policies.

External influence: Very important to U.S. housing policy

The ratings on FHLB Cincinnati reflect our opinion that there is a very high likelihood that the U.S. government would provide the bank with timely and sufficient extraordinary support in the event of financial distress. Therefore, our issuer credit rating on the bank reflects one-notch uplift from our SACP.

In accordance with our criteria on NBFIs, our view of government support on our assessment of FHLB Cincinnati reflects the following factors:

- Very important role providing low-cost funding to support housing and community development in the U.S., which we believe are key economic and political objectives of the U.S. government; and
- Very strong link with the U.S. government, because a financially distressed or defaulted FHLB could significantly affect the government's reputation, and we believe the government has the administrative capacity and mechanisms (via the FHFA) for responding to an FHLB's financial distress in a timely manner. Moreover, we view the government as having a track record of providing very strong and timely credit support to the FHLBs, such as their inclusion in a U.S. Treasury GSE credit facility created in September 2008.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati	
Issuer credit rating	AA+/Stable/A-1+
Stand-alone credit profile	aa
Anchor	bb+
Entity-Specific Anchor Adjustment	3
Business Position	Strong (+1)
Capital, Leverage, and Earnings	Very Strong (+2)
Risk Position	Very Strong (+2)
Funding and Liquidity	Adequate and Adequate (0)
Comparable Ratings Analysis	0
External Influence	1
Government Influence	1
Group Influence	0
Rating Above The Sovereign	0

Related Criteria

- Criteria - Financial Institutions - General: Risk-Adjusted Capital Framework Methodology, July 20, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- General Criteria: Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, March 25, 2015
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Bank Hybrid Capital And Nondeferrable Subordinated Debt Methodology And Assumptions, Jan. 29, 2015
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - General: Issue Credit Rating Methodology For Nonbank Financial Institutions And

Nonbank Financial Services Companies, Dec. 9, 2014

- Criteria - Financial Institutions - General: Nonbank Financial Institutions Rating Methodology, Dec. 9, 2014
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Quantitative Metrics For Rating Banks Globally: Methodology And Assumptions, July 17, 2013
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009
- Criteria - Financial Institutions - Banks: Commercial Paper I: Banks, March 23, 2004

Ratings Detail (As Of August 8, 2018)

Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Issuer Credit Ratings History

10-Jun-2013	AA+/Stable/A-1+
08-Aug-2011	AA+/Negative/A-1+
15-Jul-2011	AAA/Watch Neg/A-1+

Sovereign Rating

United States AA+/Stable/A-1+

Related Entities

Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Federal Home Loan Bank of Boston

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Federal Home Loan Bank of Dallas

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Federal Home Loan Bank of Des Moines

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Federal Home Loan Bank of New York

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Federal Home Loan Bank of Pittsburgh

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka

Issuer Credit Rating AA+/Stable/A-1+

Federal Home Loan Banks

Senior Unsecured AA+

Senior Unsecured AA+/A-1+

Ratings Detail (As Of August 8, 2018) (cont.)

Senior Unsecured	AA+/Stable
Short-Term Debt	A-1+

*Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. S&P Global Ratings' credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. S&P Global Ratings' credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country. Issue and debt ratings could include debt guaranteed by another entity, and rated debt that an entity guarantees.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.